



## Physical and Morphological Characteristics of Turkish National Adolescent Tennis Players and Their Association with Serve Speed

### *Türk Genç Milli Tenis Oyuncularının Fiziksel ve Morfolojik Özelliklerinin Servis Hızı ile İlişkisi*

Mustafa Söğüt, Kübra Altunsoy

Faculty of Sport Sciences, Kırıkkale University, Kırıkkale, Turkey

#### ABSTRACT

**Objectives:** The purpose of this cross-sectional study was to determine physical fitness and anthropometric characteristics of a cohort of national youth female tennis players and to analyze the relationships between serve speed and aforementioned variables.

**Material and Methods:** Twelve players, 15.1-18.6 years of age ( $16.4 \pm 1.1$  years), were tested on serve speed (radar gun), vertical jump (countermovement jump), linear speed (10- and 20-m sprints), agility (T agility test), maximal isometric grip strength, and a total of 16 anthropometric variables (body height, body weight, eight skinfolds, four girths, and two breadths). Body mass index (BMI), body fat percentage, muscle mass percentage, sum of skinfolds (three, six and eight), and somatotype components were calculated. The weight and string tension of the rackets were also recorded.

**Results:** Descriptive results indicated similarities and differences in various measurements with those of the normative samples reported by previous studies. Serve speed was found to be significantly associated with body weight ( $r = .775$ ,  $p < 0.01$ ) and BMI ( $r = .603$ ,  $p < 0.05$ ).

**Conclusion:** These results highlight the importance of several anthropometric features in producing high speed tennis serve among elite youth female players.

**Keywords:** Anthropometry, body composition, fitness, tennis, serve speed

M. Söğüt   
0000-0003-1826-8235

K. Altunsoy   
0000-0002-9379-5361

*Geliş Tarihi / Date Received:*

02.07.2018

*Kabul Tarihi / Date Accepted:*

15.08.2018

*Yayın Tarihi/Published Online:*

18.10.2018

*Yazışma Adresi /*

*Corresponding Author:*

Mustafa Söğüt  
Kırıkkale Üniversitesi, Spor  
Bilimleri Fakültesi, Kırıkkale,  
Türkiye

*E-mail:* msogut@kku.edu.tr

©2018 Türkiye Spor Hekimleri  
Derneği. Tüm hakları saklıdır.

#### ÖZ

**Amaç:** Bu araştırmanın amacı genç milli tenis oyuncularının antropometrik ve fiziksel uygunluk özelliklerinin belirlenmesi ve bu değişkenlerin servis hızı ile ilişkilerinin incelenmesidir.

**Gereç ve Yöntemler:** Araştırmaya katılan on iki oyuncunun ( $16.4 \pm 1.1$  yaş) servis hızı (spor radarı), dikey sıçrama (aktif sıçrama), sürat (10 ve 20 m), çeviklik (T çeviklik testi), el kavrama kuvveti ile bazı morfolojik özellikleri (boy uzunluğu, vücut ağırlığı, deri kıvrım kalınlığı, çevre ve çap) ölçüldü. Beden Kütle İndeksi (BKİ), vücut yağ ve kas yüzdesi, toplam deri kıvrım kalınlıkları ve somatotip değerleri elde edilen veriler ile hesaplandı. Ayrıca, oyuncuların kullandıkları racketlerin ağırlıkları ve tel tansiyonları not edildi.

**Bulgular:** Araştırma sonuçları normatif grupların benzer özelliklerinin incelendiği geçmiş çalışmaların bulguları ile karşılaştırıldı ve çeşitli benzerlik ve farklıklar saptandı. Servis hızı ile vücut ağırlığı ( $r = .775$ ,  $p < 0.01$ ) ve BKİ ( $r = .603$ ,  $p < 0.05$ ) arasında istatistiksel olarak anlamlı ilişki bulundu.

**Sonuç:** Bu sonuçlar genç elit kadın tenis oyuncularında bazı antropometrik özelliklerin servis kullanım hızı üzerindeki önemini göstermektedir.

**Anahtar Sözcükler:** Antropometri, vücut kompozisyonu, fiziksel uygunluk, tenis, servis hızı

**Available at:** <http://journalofsportsmedicine.org> and <http://dx.doi.org/10.5152/tjism.2019.117>.

**Cite this article as:** Sogut M, Altunsoy K. Physical and morphological characteristics of Turkish national adolescent tennis players and their association with serve speed. *Turk J Sports Med*. Published Online: 18<sup>th</sup> October, 2018.

## INTRODUCTION

Previous studies emphasize the value of profiling physical (1-4) and morphological (5-7) attributes of elite tennis players. According to Quinn and Reid (8), regular assessments provide a better understanding of the individual needs and progression of athletes that consequently enable coaches to modify training programs. Age and gender specific normative data acquired through scientific methodology may also give rise to useful information for talent identification and development.

During the last decades, tennis has evolved in discrete aspects and became a more power-based sport (9). Significant influences on the development of racket technology and training methods are the main factors that triggered the increment in the pace of contemporary tennis (10,11). Producing high ball speed, especially during the serve, predominantly affects the success of professional players (12). According to Ulbricht et al. (4), serve speed is the paramount predictor of tennis performance in junior players.

On the other hand, existing literature regarding the aforementioned issues is restricted to either male junior or professional players, and provides limited evidence on their female counterparts.

Thus, the aims of this investigation were to determine various anthropometric and functional attributes of a cohort of national female adolescent tennis players, to collate their results with those of relevant samples, and to examine the associations between serve speed and other variables. Findings of the study could contribute to the body of knowledge by adding a set of data about certain players, and be useful for coaches, athletic trainers, sport scientists, and other collaborators.

## MATERIAL and METHODS

### Participants

Twelve Turkish national female youth tennis players, 15.1-18.6 years of age ( $16.4 \pm 1.1$  years), were recruited to participate in the study. Table 1 represents descriptive data regarding the sport-specific experience and weekly training volume of the participants. The ethical approval was obtained from the Human Subjects Ethics Committee of Middle East Technical University. All players and their parents were briefed on the measurement procedures and purpose of the probe before written informed consents were obtained.

**Table 1.** Experience and weekly training profiles of the participants

Variables	Mean	(± SD)	Minimum	Maximum
Experience (year)	10.2	1.5	7	12
Tennis practice (hr/wk)	20.2	4.9	10	28
Physical practice (hr/wk)	7.9	2.7	5	14
Total practice (hr/wk)	28.1	7.1	16	42

hr/wk: hours/week

### Procedures

Participants were tested on two separate days. On the first testing session, they were initially administered a brief questionnaire regarding their

sport-specific experience, weekly training (tennis and fitness) volume, and racket specifications. As a next step, anthropometric measurements were performed by a single observer in accordance

with the reference manual (13). On the second testing session day, players were requested to

answer questions concerning their health status in order to ensure that they had no acute or chronic disease and/or musculoskeletal injury. All fitness tests were completed in an indoor tennis court. They were requested to carry out a warm-up procedure including physical (jogging and stretching) and technical (ground strokes rallies and serve) workouts. Serve speed, vertical jump, linear speed, agility, and grip strength evaluations were conducted accordingly.

## **Measures**

### ***Anthropometric Measures***

Sixteen anthropometric variables (stature, body mass, eight skinfolds, four girths, and two breadths) were measured. Body height was measured with a portable stadiometer (Seca 213, Hamburg, Germany) to the nearest 0.1 cm. Body mass (0.1 kg) was evaluated with bioelectrical impedance analyser (Tanita, BC-418, Japan). Skinfolds (triceps, biceps, subscapular, supraspinal, supriliac, abdominal, thigh and medial calf) were assessed using a caliper (Holtain Ltd, Crymych, UK) to the nearest 0.2 mm. Girths (upper arm flexed and tensed, upper arm relaxed, thigh and maximum calf) were measured with a flexible steel tape to the nearest 0.1 cm. Bicipicondylar humerus and femur breadths were measured with a small sliding caliper to the nearest 0.1 cm. BMI was calculated by dividing weight (kg) by the squared height (m<sup>2</sup>). Body density was determined (14) and used to estimate the body fat ratio (15). Muscle mass percentage was calculated via the equation of Poortmans et al. (16). Eight skinfold measurements were taken to determine the sum of three (triceps, subscapular, supraspinal), six (triceps, subscapular, supraspinal, supriliac, abdominal, and thigh), and eight (triceps, subscapular, supraspinal, supriliac, abdominal, thigh, biceps, and medial calf) skinfolds (7). Somatotype components were calculated using the method of Carter and Heath (17).

### ***Serve Speed***

A radar gun (PR1000-BC; Ball Coach, Santa Rosa, Calif., USA) was used to measure serve speed. It was positioned 2 m behind the server and aligned with the ball trajectory. Each subject was encouraged to hit with the highest speed from the deuce-court in 30 s intervals. Five successful serves were noted and the means were used for the analysis.

### ***Vertical Jump***

Countermovement jump test was conducted on a contact mat (SmartJump, Fusion Sport, Australia). The device was connected to a digital timer, recording flight time to give vertical jump height. Players were asked to start the test in standing position. Their hands were on their hips throughout the test. Following the immediate downward movement, they performed take-off to jump the maximal height. The best of three trials was used for further analysis.

### ***Linear Speed***

Ten- and 20-m linear sprinting performances were measured using electronic timing gates (Smartspeed, Fusion Sport, Australia) positioned at 0 m, 10 m, and 20 m. The players started the test individually when they were ready. The assessment was repeated twice, and the fastest one was recorded.

### ***Agility***

T agility test was administered according to the procedure described in previous studies (18,19). Players were instructed to run forward and shuffle sideways to the left. They then shuffled from left to right and right to left and ran backwards to the start/finish line where electronic timing gates (Smartspeed, Fusion Sport, Australia) were placed. The test was performed twice and the best score was used for analysis.

### ***Grip Strength***

A digital hand dynamometer (T.K.K. 5401 Grip-D, Takei, Japan) was utilized to evaluate maximal isometric grip strength. Players were in standing position and keeping their arm straight along

the body. They were asked to hold the dynamometer with their dominant hand, and grip the handle as hard as they could for three seconds. The highest score obtained from the three trials was used for data analysis.

### Analysis

All data were analyzed using SPSS for Windows v20. Central tendency (mean and standard deviation) and range (minimum and maximum) were calculated for the variables. Normality was checked by Shapiro-Wilks's test ( $p>0.05$ ). Pearson's correlation coefficient was used to ascertain the

relations between serve speed and other variables. Statistical significance level was set at  $p<0.05$ .

### RESULTS

Table 2 represents the descriptive statistics for the anthropometric, physical fitness, and racket specification characteristics of the players. Correlations between serve speed and the other variables are presented in Table 3. Serve speed was found to be significantly associated with body weight and BMI. No significant correlations were found with other parameters.

**Table 2.** Descriptive statistics for the study variables

Variables	Mean	(± SD)	Minimum	Maximum
Stature (cm)	169.6	5.8	163.1	181.9
Body mass (kg)	64.1	9.1	48.2	79.2
Body mass index (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	22.3	3.3	17.7	29.8
Body fat percentage (%)	27.9	3.6	23.7	34.7
Muscle mass percentage (%)	38.9	2.1	35.0	42.5
Sum of 3 skinfolds (mm)	44.3	13.6	26.4	70.4
Sum of 6 skinfolds (mm)	105.8	28.3	61.2	156.4
Sum of 8 skinfolds (mm)	133.8	36.7	81.0	203.0
Endomorphy	4.1	0.9	2.7	5.7
Mesomorphy	3.4	1.2	2.0	6.3
Ectomorphy	2.6	1.4	0.1	4.5
Serve speed (km·h <sup>-1</sup> )	152.1	7.6	142.8	170.3
Vertical jump (cm)	28.8	2.2	24.5	31.7
10 m sprint (s)	2.0	0.1	1.9	2.1
20 m sprint (s)	3.5	0.1	3.3	3.7
Agility (s)	10.4	0.5	9.7	11.5
Grip strength (kg)	37.3	5.0	30.3	47.3
Racket weight (g)	294.5	10.3	270.0	304.0
String tension (kg)	22.7	1.5	19.5	24.5

**Table 3.** Correlations between serve speed and the other variables

Parameters	r	p
Height	0,331	0,294
Weight	0,775	<0.01*
Body mass index	0,603	<0.05*
Body fat percentage	0,242	0,449
Muscle mass percentage	-0,281	0,376
Sum of 3 skinfolds	0,288	0,365
Sum of 6 skinfolds	0,32	0,311
Sum of 8 skinfolds	0,261	0,413
Endomorphy	0,279	0,38
Mesomorphy	0,506	0,093
Ectomorphy	-0,544	0,067
Vertical jump	-0,148	0,646
10 m sprint	-0,241	0,45
20 m sprint	-0,071	0,825
Agility	-0,491	0,105
Grip strength	0,295	0,352
Racket weight	0,311	0,326
String tension	0,208	0,517

\*: statistically significant correlation

## DISCUSSION

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study that provides cross-sectional data concerning anthropometric and physical fitness characteristics of national Turkish female adolescent tennis players. Their results indicated similarities and differences in several measurements with those of the normative reference samples reported by previous studies, such as Sánchez-Muñoz et al. (7) who has evaluated the morphological characteristics of top female junior (age: 16.1±0.5 years) tennis players in the world.

The comparison revealed that Turkish players were slightly heavier than their top ranked counterparts. However, similar results were obtained for body height and sum of three skinfolds. They had higher figures for BMI, sum

of six skinfolds, and sum of eight skinfolds, and lower values for body fat ratio. They also had considerably less muscle mass ratio. Furthermore, the results demonstrated a disparity between the somatotype profiles. The mean somatotype of top level players was noted by Sánchez-Muñoz et al. as endomorphic mesomorph, whereas participants of the present study were found as mesomorphic endomorph.

Ulbricht and his collaborators (4) investigated the physical and anthropometric characteristics of national German female junior (age: 14.9±0.5 years) players. Their findings demonstrated that German athletes, when compared to Turkish players, had relatively minor measures of height, weight, BMI, and grip strength, but superior results on vertical jump, 10, and 20 m sprint times. Similar serve speed scores were observed. In another recent study, Kramer et al. (2) examined the body size and fitness attributes of high-ranked female junior (age: 14.4±0.3 years) Dutch players. Although body heights of the two groups tend to be similar, Dutch players had considerably lower body weight. Besides, they had better scores on 10 m sprint and vertical jump tests.

Vaverka and Cernosek (20) analysed the serve speed and body height of female professional players who participated in Grand Slam tournaments in 2008 and 2012. Their report indicated higher scores on both variables.

Although the vast majority of previous studies noted positive associations between stature and serve speed (21-26), the present results revealed significant correlations between serve speed and body weight, and serve speed and BMI. Similarly, Wong et al. (27) found a significant association between BMI and serve speed in elite male players. They explained this finding by focusing on the possible positive effects of greater muscle mass on production of power and torque. According to Gale-Watts and Nevill (6), rather than level of adiposity, greater BMI is a presumptive indicator of higher muscle mass in athletic populations.

No significant associations were found between physical fitness and racket specification variables and serve speed. This result is in accordance with the findings of Bonato et al. (21), who studied the relationships between anthropometric, functional and racket characteristics, and serve speed in male professional players. Except for body height, their results showed no significant relations between serve speed and other parameters. The result obtained by the current study could be due to the complexity of tennis serve that requires precise coordination of the lower and upper body (28), and major muscles (29). According to Elliott (30), a kinetic chain involving sequential activation and coordination of different body parts is the prerequisite of the high speed tennis serve. Supportively, Pugh et al. (31) stated that rather than a single factor, it may depend on the combination of various determinants like strength, flexibility, coordination, and technique.

## CONCLUSION

This study is original in the sense that it specifically focuses on the physical and anthropometric characteristics of a group of national female youth tennis players and their associations with serve speed. Regarding the morphological results, main discrepancies between Turkish and reference players were observed in muscle mass ratio, and the dominant component of somatotype. Similar or slightly different figures were obtained for serve speed and physical fitness measurements. Depending on these results, it is suggested for the coaching teams of top Turkish adolescent players to strive for increasing the muscle mass ratio that may lead to changes in body composition, and consequently boost their performances. On the other part, results highlighted the importance of several anthropometric features in producing high speed tennis serve among elite female junior players. It must be taken into account that this study was limited by sample size and purposive sampling. Future studies are recommended to establish normative data for elite tennis players across different age groups and in both genders.

## Acknowledgements

The researchers would like to thank all players and national coaches for their support and cooperation.

## REFERENCES

1. Fernandez-Fernandez J, Ulbricht A, Ferrauti A. Fitness testing of tennis players: how valuable is it? *Br J Sports Med.* 2014;48(Suppl 1):22-31.
2. Kramer T, Huijgen BC, Elferink-Gemser MT, et al. A longitudinal study of physical fitness in elite junior tennis players. *Pediatr Exerc Sci.* 2016;28(4):553-64.
3. Roetert EP, Garrett GE, Brown SW, et al. Performance profiles of nationally ranked junior tennis players. *J Strength Cond Res.* 1992;6(4):225-31.
4. Ulbricht A, Fernandez-Fernandez J, Mendez-Villanueva A, et al. Impact of fitness characteristics on tennis performance in elite junior tennis players. *J Strength Cond Res.* 2016;30(4):989-98.
5. Buti T, Elliott B, Morton A. Physiological and anthropometric profiles of elite prepubescent tennis players. *Physician Sportsmed.* 1984;12(1):111-6.
6. Gale-Watts AS, Nevill AM. From endurance to power athletes: The changing shape of successful male professional tennis players. *Eur J Sport Sci.* 2016;16(8):948-54.
7. Sánchez-Muñoz C, Sanz D, Zabala M. Anthropometric characteristics, body composition and somatotype of elite junior tennis players. *Br J Sport Med.* 2007;41(11):793-9.
8. Quinn A, Reid M. Screening and testing. In: Reid M, Quinn A, Crespo M, editors. *ITF Strength and Conditioning for Tennis.* London: ITF Ltd; 2003, p. 3-48.
9. Kovacs MS. Tennis physiology: training the competitive athlete. *Sports Med.* 2007;37(3):189-98.
10. Abrams GD, Sheets AL, Andriacchi TP, et al. Review of tennis serve motion analysis and the biomechanics of three serve types with implications for injury. *Sports Biomech.* 2011;10(4):378-90.
11. Cross R, Bower R. Effects of swing-weight on swing speed and racket power. *J Sports Sci.* 2006;24(1):23-30.
12. Martin C, Kulpa R, Delamarche P, et al. Professional tennis players' serve: correlation between segmental angular momentums and ball velocity. *Sports Biomech.* 2013;12(1):2-14.
13. Lohman TG, Roche AF, Martorell R. *Anthropometric Standardization Reference Manual.* Champaign, Illinois: Human Kinetics Books; 1988.
14. Durnin JV, Womersley J. Body fat assessed from total body density and its estimation from skinfold thickness: measurements on 481 men and women aged from 16 to 72 years. *Br J Nutr.* 1974;32(1):77-97.
15. Siri WE. The gross composition of the body. In: Tobias CA, Lawrence JH, editors. *Advances in Biological and Medical Physics.* New York: Academic Press; 1956; p. 239-80.
16. Poortmans JR, Boisseau N, Moraine JJ, et al. Estimation of total-body skeletal muscle mass in children and adolescents. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 2005;37(2):316-22.
17. Lindsay Carter JE, Honeyman Heath B. *Somatotyping: Development and Applications* (Cambridge Studies in

- Biological Anthropology vol. 5). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1990.
18. Hoffman JR, Tenenbaum G, Maresh CM, et al. Relationship between athletic performance tests and playing time in elite college basketball players. *J Strength Cond Res.* 1996;10(2):67-71.
  19. Okada T, Huxel KC, Nesser TW. Relationship between core stability, functional movement, and performance. *J Strength Cond Res.* 2011;25(1):252-61.
  20. Vaverka F, Cernosek M. Quantitative assessment of the serve speed in tennis. *Sports Biomech.* 2016;15(1):48-60.
  21. Bonato M, Maggioni M, Rossi C, et al. Relationship between anthropometric or functional characteristics and maximal serve velocity. *J Sport Med Phys Fitness.* 2015;55(10):1157-65.
  22. Del Corral J, Prieto-Rodríguez J. Are differences in ranks good predictors for Grand Slam tennis matches? *Int J Forecasting.* 2010;26(3):551-63.
  23. Cross R, Pollard G. Grand Slam men's singles tennis 1991-2009 serve speeds and other related data. *ITF Coaching Sport Sci Rev.* 2009;16(49):8-10.
  24. Söğüt M. Ball speed during the tennis serve in relation to skill level and body height. *Pamukkale J Sport Sci.* 2016;7(2):51-7.
  25. Söğüt M. Stature: Does it really make a difference in match-play outcomes among professional tennis players? *Int J Perform Analysis Sport.* 2018;18(2):255-61.
  26. Vaverka F, Cernosek M. Association between body height and serve speed in elite tennis players. *Sports Biomech.* 2013;12(1):30-7.
  27. Wong FK, Keung JH, Lau NM, et al. Effects of body mass index and full body kinematics on tennis serve speed. *J Hum Kinet.* 2014; 40(1):21-8.
  28. Bahamonde RE. Changes in angular momentum during the tennis serve. *J Sports Sci.* 2000;18(8):579-92.
  29. Knudson DV, Noffal GJ, Bahamonde RE, et al. Stretching has no effect on tennis serve performance. *J Strength Cond Res.* 2004;18(3): 654-6.
  30. Elliott B. Biomechanics and tennis. *Br J Sports Med.* 2006;40(5):392-6.
  31. Pugh SF, Kovaleski JE, Heitman RJ, et al. Upper and lower body strength in relation to ball speed during a serve by male collegiate tennis players. *Percept Mot Skills.* 2003;97(3 Pt1):867-72.